



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/652,933		08/28/2003	Zheng J. Li	PC11724G 2645		
28523	7590	06/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PFIZER IN		ENT MC02/0 1/11	PESELEV, ELLI			
	ATENT DEPARTMENT, MS8260-1611 ASTERN POINT ROAD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
GROTON, CT 06340				1623		
				DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· . <u>-</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/652,933	LI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Elli Peselev	1623					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address					
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. In (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	s action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 126-145 is/are pending in the applica	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>126-145</u> is/are rejected.							
· -	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∟	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	D)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
* <	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	nee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ea.					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

MC

Application/Control Number: 10/652,933

Art Unit: 1623

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 126-145 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 124-143 of copending Application No. 10/650,253. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because a compound is not patentable over a combination of said compound with a carrier or diluent.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/652,933

Art Unit: 1623

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 126-145 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Singer et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,365,574).

Singer et al disclose a pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutic amount of an ethanolate of azithromycin and a pharmaceutically acceptable carrier (column 2, lines 6-9). The claimed composition is anticipated by Singer et al. In addition, if there are any differences between the claimed composition and the prior art composition, the differences would appear to be minor in nature and the claimed composition, which falls within the scope of the prior art's disclosure, would have been prima facie obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/652,933

Art Unit: 1623

A . 1 4.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-

0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Wilson can be reached on (571) 272-0661. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 Page 4